



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/651,424 | 08/30/2000 | Mariusz H. Jakubowski | MS1-528US | 2561 |
| 22801 | 7590 | 01/31/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201 | | | TRAN, TONGOC | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2134 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/651,424 | JAKUBOWSKI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Tongoc Tran | 2134 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tongoc Tran. (3)_____.

(2) Frank Bozzo. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 24 January 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yorke-Smith (U.S. Patent No. 5,548,648).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed proposed amendment against the cited prior Yorke-Smith. Applicant's representative comments that Yorke-Smith as a whole does not specifically teach the features where the checkpoint is inserted at varying positions relative to the protected segment and are configured to invoke a function call to validate the segment for integrity check. In response, related portion of the prior art, col. 4-6 in referencing Fig. 4 and 6, has been discussed. No agreement has been reached. Examiner agrees to further consider the amended claims against the art of record upon Applicant's filing of the amendment.